



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/940,472	08/29/2001	Katsuji Kimura	Q65962	4891

7590 01/27/2003
SUGHRUE, MION, ZINN, MACPEAK & SEAS
2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, DC 20037

EXAMINER

NGUYEN, MINH T

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2816

DATE MAILED: 01/27/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/940,472

Applicant(s)

KIMURA, KATSUJI

Examiner

Minh Nguyen

Art Unit

2816

-- Th MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cov r sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 December 2002.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-4 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 31 July 2002 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
- If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
- a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _____.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) _____.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. Applicant's amendment filed on 12/17/02 has been received and entered in the case. The Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but they are not persuasive, and therefore, the prior art rejections are remained and repeated for the reasons set forth below. This action is FINAL.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US Patent No. 5,602,509, issued to Kimura.

As per claim 1, Kimura discloses a voltage subtract or/adder circuit (Fig. 1, note that Fig. 3 is a functional block diagram of Fig. 1) comprising:

a differential pair (transistors M56 and M57) having a first MOS transistor M56 and a second MOS transistor M57, wherein the gate electrodes of the first and second MOS transistors forming input terminals, i.e., the gate terminals of M56 and M57, for receiving an input differential voltage (V1-V2), the drain electrodes of the first and second MOS transistors

Art Unit: 2816

forming output terminals for outputting a signal to be subtracted I (column 2, lines 16-17, Fig. 3, the active load 3 is the subtract or circuit since the circuit outputs the differential output current, column 2, lines 61-64, Fig. 1, transistors M58 and M59 is an active load), and source electrodes of M56 and M57 coupled to form an output terminal B for addition output voltage (the voltage at node B); and

the limitation recited on the last two lines is met as described in column 2, line 22, i.e., “squaring circuit 2” and Fig. 3.

As per claim 2, the recited level shifter for level shifting the addition output voltage reads on transistor M55 (column 2, lines 52-55).

As per claim 3, Kimura discloses a voltage adder/adder circuit (Fig. 1) comprising:

a differential pair (transistors M56 and M57) having a first MOS transistor M56 and a second MOS transistor M57, wherein the gate electrodes of the first and second MOS transistors forming input terminals, i.e., the gate terminals of M56 and M57, for receiving an input differential voltage (V_1-V_2), the drain electrodes forming output terminals to the adder circuit (M58-M59) for outputting a subtraction output signal i , and source electrodes of M56 and M57 coupled to form an output terminal B for addition output voltage (the voltage at node B); and a constant current source aI which drives the differential pair (M56 and M57).

As per claim 4, the recited level shifter for level shifting the addition output voltage reads on transistor M55 (column 2, lines 52-55).

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed 12/17/02 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Regarding the argument that in Figure 1 of the Kimura reference, "the output signal i is derived from the drains of MOS transistors M59 and M57, not M56 and M57 as the claim would require".

The Examiner notes that claim 1 requires "drains electrodes of said first and second MOS transistors forming output terminals for outputting a signal to be subtracted". This limitation can be seen in Fig. 3, which is a functional block diagram of Fig. 1, the drains electrodes of the first and second MOS transistors M1 (Fig. 1, transistor M56) and M2 (Fig. 1, transistor 57) forming output terminals to the circuit block 3 for outputting a signal $\Delta(I)$ to be subtracted, i.e., in the circuit block 3 of the Kimura reference, the $\Delta(I)$ signal is the difference between the signal $ID1$ and $ID2$ which are provided by the drain electrodes of M1 (Fig. 1, M56) and M2 (Fig. 1, M57), respectively. Clearly, the $\Delta(I)$ signal is the subtraction output signal which is derived from the drains of M1 (Fig. 1, transistor M56) and M2 (Fig. 1, transistor M57). Comparing between Fig. 1 of the present invention and Fig. 3 of the Kimura reference further shows that the $\Delta(I)$ signal is indeed the subtraction output signal of the signals $ID1$ and $ID2$.

Regarding the argument that "current i is not a subtraction output signal as required by the claim, because it is a current, not a voltage".

Art Unit: 2816

The Examiner notes that the claims do not require the subtraction output signal is a voltage signal, i.e., it merely requires a “signal” which can be either voltage signal or current signal.

The Examiner further notes that even the claims are amended to require the output signal is a voltage signal, the would be added limitation would still be rejected on the ground that the modification would have been obvious at the time of the invention was made since the knowledge of using a resistor to convert a current into voltage is old and well known in the art, i.e., using Ohm’s Law, $V=RI$.

Conclusion

4. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2816

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Minh Nguyen whose telephone number is 703-306-9179. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday 7:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Timothy Callahan can be reached on 703-308-4876. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9318 for regular communications and 703-872-9319 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.



Minh Nguyen
Examiner
Art Unit 2816

MN
January 20, 2003